OCT-29-C4 04:05PM FROM-CLG FAX

+18479057113

OFFICIAL

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 – 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 – 905 - 7113

Date:

OCTOBER 29, 2004

(703) 746-7238

To:

Fax #:

From: Phone #: FRANK C. NICHOLAS (847) 424-2521

EXAMINER BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Client/Matter No.:

AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

15

of Pages:

(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, PLEASE CALL <u>B47/905-7111, Ext. 112</u> AND ASK FOR JENNIFER CRUZ

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

PAGE 1/15* RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

ара 8/32/20 Онда (25:1-303) - Рибной има Планиский Сладин, ч > ОБУЖК (на БУГОР СОцинСКСС) - рик першова со гладова би и ималиции и имализации манна в садаржи е кара Онда сопола пынала PT0/58/21 (12-97) / ALL ALL ALL STORE ON CONTRACT IN THE tre Payes B Attomey Docket No. AUS920010925US1 (9000/81) TRANSMITTAL RECEIVED Application Number 09/981,877 CENTRAL FIX CENTER FORM Filing Date **OCTOBER 18, 2001** UCT 2 9 2004 ANTHONY E MARTINEZ First Named Inventor (to be used for all correspondence after milliel film()) 2173 Group Art Unit BONSHOCK, D. G. Examiner ان میں ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) Appear Communication to Board of Assignment Papers Amendment Appears and Interferences Drawings. After Final Appeal Brief \bowtie After Allowance Communication to Affidavits/declaration(s) Group Pention Roung Stp (PTO/SB/69) and Prophetary Information Accompanying Petition To Convert a Postcard Status Letter Provisional Application Additional Enclosure(s) Change of Correspondence Address Peapon for Extension of Time П (please identify below) Request (dup) Express Abandonment Under Terminal Disclamer 37 CFR 1 138 Supplemental Information Disclosure Small Entity Statement Statement, PTO-1449, art. Certified Copy of Phonty Document(s) **Request of Refund** The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete \boxtimes credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation) A Application duplicate copy of this sneet is enclosed. t hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure \boxtimes that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 09-0447(IBM Corporation) A suplicate

+18479057113

OCT-29-04 04:05PM

FROM-CLG FAX

T-908 P.02/15 F-430

				CALCULATION	of fr	ŧ						
						Small E	Intry			Large	Entity	
	Claims After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra		Rate	Aqq1 Fee		or	Rate	Addī Fee	
Total		Mittus		0		<u>x \$9=</u>		_0		x \$18=		
Ілфер		Minus		0		x \$44 =		0		x \$88=		
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim						+\$150=	_	_		+ \$300=		
						total addri fee		50		to <u>tal</u> add 'i fee		\$0
			SIGNATURE O	F APPLICANT, A	TTOF	NEY, OR AGE	NT					
Fam or Individual name			No. 33,983 AW GROUP on Avenue, Suite 20									
Signature		1 Alla				Date	9 <u>Octoper 29_2004</u>					
				ERTIFICATE OF F	ACSI	MILE				1		
i hereby cardify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (7 to the United StatesPatent and Trademark Office on					o (70	3) 746 - 7238				October 29	2004	
Signaturi		7. K.C. NICHOLA	The				Date.	Q	ctoper	29. 2004		

copy of this sheet is enclosed.

PAGE 2/15* RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

OCT-29-04 04:06PM FROM-CLG FAX

+18479057113

PTO/S9/21 (13-01) Approved to use terrough to 3000. OMB 0031 0031. Patient and Thoomas. Office. U.S. DEPAR) wENT OF COmmERCE under the huge Resource Aut of 1993. No perform an approve to a common of adhermative vehicle if a table of a set Chell approximation.

TRANSMITTAL			Anomey Docket No.	AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)					
			Application Number	09/981.877					
	FORM		Filing Date	OCTOBER 18, 2001					
		First Named Inventor	ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ						
	(to pe used for all correspondence after initial fil	ing)	Group Art Unit	2173					
1		-	Ехалипес	BONSI	Bonshock, D G				
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)									
	Amenament		saignment Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences				
	After Final		awngs.						
			ter Allowance Communication to	Ø	Appeal Brief				
			ntion Routing Stp (PTO/SB/69) and		Proprietary information				
	Status Letter		Convert a possional Application		Postcara				
	Peution for Extension of Time Request (4up)	□ °	nange of Correspondence Address		Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below)				
	Express Abandonment Under 37 CFR 1 138	Пт	əminəl Disclaimer						
	Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449, art	🗖 s	na# Entrity Statement		·				
	Centified Copy of Priority Document(s)	P4	squest of Refund						
	Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	쓰 ㅠ	te Commissioner is nereby authorize edit any overpayment, to Deposit Ac iphosta copy of this sneet is enclosed	COUNT NO	t to charge any fees which may be required, or ount No. <u>09-0447</u> (IBM Corporation) A				
			Brisby petition under 37 CFR § 1.138(a) for any extension of time required to ensure at this paper is briefly filed. Please charge any associated tees which have not remise been paid to Deposit Account No. <u>09-0447(iBM Corporation)</u> . A duplicate by of this sheet is enclosed						

CALCULATION OF FEE

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Smail I	Entity			Large Entity		
	Claims After Amendment		Previously Paul For	Present Extra		Rate	Aqq'ı Fee		Oq.	Rate	Add'i Fee	
Total		Minus		0	_	<u>x \$9=</u>		0		<u>x \$18=</u>		
indep.		Millus		0		x \$44=		0		x \$88≖		
First Pre	First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+\$150=		_		+ \$300=		
						total addi fee		\$ 0		total add1 fee	\$ 0	
	-		SIGNATURE	OF APPLICANT, A	Πα	RNEY, OR AGE	NT			•		
Fifm or Individua	al name		No. 33,983 AW GROUP on Avenue, Suite 20	000		-						
Signature The Cillin						Date	Qq	ober 2	9 2004			
				ERTIFICATE OF P								
I nereby centify that this correspondence is pearg transmitted via facisimile to (703) to the United StatesPatent and Trademark Office on						03) 746 - 7238				October 29	2004	
Signatur		7 / (C NICHOLA	1 Ashin			-	Date	Q	ctober	29.2004		

PAGE 3/15 * RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

+18479057113

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE I hereby cerufy that this ourrespondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (703) 746 - 7238 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on _____October 29, 2004_____ (Date of Transmission)

FRANI	<u>(C. NICHOLAS (33,983)</u>	
Name of applicant	westgree or registered representative	
21	$\rho - \eta / / /$	
0.1	(Matching	
	Signature	

October 29, 2004 Date of Signature

> PATENT Case No. AUS920010923US1 (9000/81)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

)
)

)

In repatent application of:

ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ, ET AL.

Serial No.: 09/981,877

Filed: OCTOBER 18, 2001

Title: METHOD OF PREVIEWING A) GRAPHICAL IMAGE CORRESPONDING) TO AN ICON IN A CLIPBOARD) Examiner: BONSHOCK, D. G.

Group Art Unit: 2173

APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22202-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellants respectfully present their Brief on Appeal as follows:

PAGE 4/15* RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 2 of 12

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is assignce INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, USA and located at New Orchard Road, Armonk, New York 10504, USA

2. <u>RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES</u>

Appellant and the undersigned attorneys are not aware of any appeals or any interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

3. <u>STATUS OF CLAIMS</u>

Claims 1-16 are currently pending in the application and stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as anticipated by "MS Word." All claims are on appeal. <u>See</u>, the Appendix.

4. <u>STATUS OF AMENDMENTS</u>

All previously filed amendments have been entered in the application.

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 3 of 12

5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The invention provides a method of previewing a graphical image corresponding to an icon in a clipboard. The method includes receiving an icon preview instruction from a user and displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction.

6. GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Does the display of a text sample, the text sample consisting of ASCII characters such that the image of the text sample differs in appearance from the text, in response to a mouse action as disclosed by "MSWord" anticipate under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) displaying a "graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction" as claimed in claim 1?

7. <u>GROUPING OF CLAIMS</u>

Claims 1-16 stand or fall with claim 1.

PAGE 6/15 * RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 4 of 12

8. <u>ARGUMENTS</u>

A. "MSWord" does not disclose each and every element of claim 1

The rejection of claims 1-16 as anticipated under 35 U.S.C §102(a) over MSWord is traversed. In order to maintain this §102(a) rejection, each and every element of the claimed invention must be disclosed in as great detail by the reference. Because the reference does not disclose each and every element, this rejection must fall.

As the "reference" does not disclose "displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction," as claimed in claim 1, the §102(a) rejection cannot stand.

At most, the "reference" discloses that a text sample of the clipboard icon is disclosed upon a mouse action, and not the display of a graphical image. See, MS Figure 2. Those of ordinary skill in the art readily recognize the differences between text and graphics. Indeed, an example of the difference is apparent from an inspection of MS Figure 2. Those of ordinary skill in the art are well acquainted with ASCII characters and fonts - ASCII characters serve to allow a computer to recognize characters of different fonts as the same character. Thus, for example "The cat ran up the hill" is rendered in Times New Roman font (in MS Figure 2), but the computer recognizes the ASCII characters in that text string as being capable of rendering in multiple fonts. "The cat ran up the hill" could also be rendered in Arial font - "The cat ran up the hill", Bookman Old Style - "The cat ran up the hill" or a multitude of other well-recognized fonts. In MS Figure 2, the allegedly anticipatory illustration illustrates the text string in different fonts, making apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the computer is using a text string, rather than a graphical image. Were the Examiner's computer using a graphical image, the fonts on the two samples, (i.e. on the screen and on the popup) would be identical. Because the fonts are different (the onscreen version uses a serif font, while the pop-up version is rendered in a sans-serif font), those of

PAGE 7/15* RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 5 of 12

ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the computer is using ASCII characters rather than graphical images.

Additionally, MS Figure 9 not only does not disclose the claimed elements, MS Figure 9 unequivocally teaches away from displaying a graphical image – "If the item is a drawing object or picture, or an item that doesn't contain text, Microsoft Word displays a label "Picture 2," which indicates the order in which the item was copied." Such a statement clearly indicates not only that the "reference" does not teach displaying "the graphical image associated with the icon," but the statement directly teaches away from doing such an action. Furthermore, MS Figure 9 teaches and discloses that the program can only preview "50 characters of text."

The Examiner's comments in paragraph 17 of the August 26, 2004 office action provide support for Applicant's position. The Examiner alleges that "text stored here are *represented* as a 'W' located on a page, in contrast pictures are *represented* as an icon...." (emphasis added). However, the claim requires "displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction," and not *representing* the picture as an icon. Thus, the claim requires "displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction," and not *representing* the picture as an icon. Thus, the claim requires "displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction" rather than displaying an icon associated with the graphical image.

The Examiner's argument that "MSWord" discloses a graphical image associated with an image on the clipboard is disingenuous. Claim 1 requires displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction and not the "image on the clipboard." See, pargraph 19 of the August 26, 2004 office action.

Applicants further note that the "reference" does not teach or suggest the desirability of using a graphical icon as a preview. In addition, none of the other cited references disclose the claimed invention.

Because the "reference" and other cited references do not disclose each and every element of claim 1, Applicants request the withdrawal of the §102(a) rejections of these claims, and claims 2-3 and 10-11 depending therefrom.

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 6 of 12

B. "MSWord" is not a reference under 35 U.S.C §102(a) and cannot support an anticipation rejection

Applicants traverse the rejection on the grounds that "MSWord" is not prior art, per MPEP §2128. Since "MSWord" does not qualify as prior art, it cannot be used to support a rejection, and the rejection must be withdrawn.

First, the Examiner has not stated, nor even alleged, that the documents were ever publicly accessible, much less accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the documents relate. The Examiner admits that the documents are screenshots of the Examiner's own computer taken April 22, 2004. Thus, the earliest these documents could possibly have been publicly accessible is 2.5 years after the filing of this application.

The MPEP is quite clear that a reference is a "printed publication" as required by \$102(a) only "upon a satisfactory showing that such document has been disseminated or otherwise made available to the extent that person interested and ordinarily skilled in the subject matter or art, exercising reasonable diligence, can locate it." See MPEP 2128, In re Wyer, 655 F.2d 221 (CCPA 1981). The Examiner does not even allege that a single other person, much less one of ordinary skill in the art, has access to his personal computer at the PTO.

The Examiner's inclusion of "MS Figure 8" appears targeted to show that the program was available prior to October 18, 2001. However, the copyright dates of a computer program are irrelevant to its status as a printed publication. Further, the screenshot illustrating the copyright dates does not show the allegedly anticipatory method in action, and therefore calls the anticipatory nature of the alleged reference into question.

While the Examiner may argue that he need not prove anyone actually looked at the document, he must show both that someone could have (i.e. see MPEP 2128.01) and the date that the publication is available as a reference. The Examiner has failed on both counts here. First, the Examiner has not alleged that his computer was available to the public prior to October 18, 2001. Second, the Examiner has not alleged any dates that the publications were available to the public.

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 7 of 12

The Examiner's argument that "cases in which screen shots were used to illustrate operational characteristics of software applications have been known be deemed [sic] acceptable by the courts" is irrelevant and without citation. Whether a screen shot illustrates operational characteristics has nothing to do with proof of anticipation. The elements of a "reference" are clearly delineated, and the Examiner cannot support the status of "MSWord" as a §102(a) reference. As outlined above, even if "MSWord" is properly a reference, "MSWord" does not disclose the claimed elements.

Thus, for at least the ground that the Examiner's "reference" is not prior art, Applicants request withdrawal of the rejections to claims 1-16.

PAGE 10/15 * RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 8 of 12

CONCLUSION

The Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-16 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: October 29, 2004

Respectfully submitted, ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ, et al.

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113 Frank C. Nicholas Registration No. 33,983 Attorney for Applicants

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 9 of 12

9. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A method of previewing a graphical image corresponding to an icon in a clipboard, comprising:

receiving an icon preview instruction from a user; and

displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein receiving an icon preview instruction comprises determining whether a display position indicator is positioned over the icon displayed in the clipboard for a predetermined time period.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein displaying the graphical image comprises displaying a reduced image of the graphical image.

 A method of displaying a clipboard comprising: receiving a paste command;

determining whether a plurality of objects are stored within the clipboard in response to the paste command; and

displaying the clipboard adjacent a display position indicator, if it is determined a plurality of objects are within the clipboard.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein displaying the clipboard adjacent the display position indicator comprises positioning an icon associated with a last pasted object adjacent the display position indicator.

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 10 of 12

6. The method of claim 4 further comprising:
 hiding the clipboard in response to selecting an icon corresponding to an

image stored on a clipboard.

7. The method of claim 4 further comprising:

hiding the clipboard in response to moving position indicator so that the

position indicator is not adjacent to the clipboard.

8. The method of claim 4 further comprising:hiding the clipboard in response to selecting a close icon on the clipboard.

9. Computer usable medium including a program for previewing a graphical image corresponding to an icon in a clipboard, comprising:

computer readable code for receiving an icon preview instruction from a user; and

computer readable code for displaying the graphical image associated with the icon in response to the icon preview instruction.

10. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein receiving an icon preview instruction comprises determining whether a display position indicator is positioned over the icon displayed in the clipboard for a predetermined time period.

11. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein displaying the graphical image comprises displaying a reduced image of the graphical image.

PAGE 13/15 * RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 11 of 12

 Computer usable medium including a program for displaying a clipboard comprising:

computer readable code for receiving a paste command;

computer readable code for determining whether a plurality of objects are stored within the clipboard in response to the paste command; and

computer readable code for displaying the clipboard adjacent a display position indicator, if it is determined a plurality of objects are within the clipboard.

13. The computer usable medium of claim 12 wherein displaying the clipboard adjacent the display position indicator comprises positioning an icon associated with a last pasted paste-able object adjacent the display position indicator.

14. The computer usable medium of claim 12 further comprising:

hiding the clipboard in response to selecting an icon corresponding to an

image stored on a clipboard.

- 15. The computer usable medium of claim 12 further comprising: hiding the clipboard in response to moving position indicator so that the position indicator is not adjacent to the clipboard.
 - The computer usable medium of claim 12 further comprising:
 hiding the clipboard in response to selecting a close icon on the clipboard.

PAGE 14/15 * RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00

October 29, 2004 Case No.: AUS920010923US1 (9000/81) Serial No.: 09/981,877 Filed: October 18, 2001 Page 12 of 12

9. <u>CLAIMS APPENDIX</u>

Appellants entered no evidence pursuant to §1.130, 1.131 or 1.132, and the Examiner entered no evidence that was relied upon by Appellants.

10. <u>RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX</u>

There are no copies of related decisions or proceedings.

PAGE 15/15 * RCVD AT 10/29/2004 5:04:10 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7467238 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-00